

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/998,115	Applicant(s) ANAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Emily Bernhardt	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:

 (1) Emily Bernhardt.

 (2) Mr. Heibel.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Ishizumi

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' response overcomes Ishizumi only in part since species #'s 23 and 24 still appear in claim 3 which remain obvious variants of the species in Ishizumi. To place the case in condition for allowance Mr. Heibel authorized the cancellation of these species from claim 3. Also after the last species of claim 3 a semicolon will be replaced by a period. EP 0748800 will be cited to complete the record..